

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/612,852	DOUGLAS ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Michael Apanius	<b>Art Unit</b> 3736

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael Apanius.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Charles Schmal.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 March 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

106 and 110

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Proposed amendments were discussed in an effort to place the instant application in condition for allowance. The amendments that have been agreed upon are made via the attached Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)